THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.24223 of 1998

Dated:28.08.2007

Between
K.Kesavulu

.....Petitioner

and

1.The Member Secretary, AP State Electricity Board, Vidyuth Soudha Khairatabad, Hyderabad and Another.

...Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.24223 of 1998

ORDER:

This writ petition has been filed against a letter No.CEE/O & M/SLM.UNIT/ADM/C.1/F.CL/D.No.566/98 dated 17.6.1998 issued by the Chief Engineer, Electrical, Operation & Maintenance, Srisailam H E Scheme, APSE Board, Srisailam, Kurnool District addressed to the petitioner informing him that his case was considered as per the guidelines laid down in B.P (P & G Per.) Ms.No.36, dated 18.5.1997 for appointment in APSE Board. It was noticed that as per the guidelines in Board's Memo No.1163 dated 5.10.1988 the petitioner did not possess the educational qualifications required for the post of Junior Plant Attendant and he could not read and write before the selection committee. Therefore, the case of the petitioner was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner

strenuously contended that the petitioner was asked to read and write. In fact, the petitioner knows reading and writing, therefore, rejection of his case on the ground that the petitioner does not know reading and writing is arbitrary and illegal.

This writ petition was filed in the year 1998. At that time, the petitioner was aged 42 years. Now, he is aged 52 years. Only to find out as to whether the allegations made by the petitioner are true or not, learned counsel appearing on either side was asked to verify whether the petitioner is able to read and write. When both of them asked, the petitioner himself expressed that he cannot write and with very difficult he could read one or two words. This is the stage of the petitioner insofar as reading and writing even after 10 years of rejection of his case.

Therefore, I am of the opinion that the respondents have not committed any error calling for interference of this Court in rejecting the case of the petitioner for appointment as per the guidelines laid down in BPMS No.36 dated 18.5.1997. Writ petition is devoid of merits and is

liable to be dismissed.

Writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

28-08-2007

rkk